

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,227	KURTZ, ANDREW D.
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Philip Kiefer, applicant's attorney. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 March 2007

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to label Figure 1 as "Prior Art".

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)